IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY OF Release 2000/09	9/02heclaring 13800823R00070 Tech Division is resp preparation of requis of a daily record of Division, PTOS.	Ittons and maintenance
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d)	13 COMPONENT: C	S/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB
EVALUATION:	25X1A	DATE:
	8.5	
What is (are) our reference		
Is (are) the reference(s) s Who is (are) the beneficiar	ry(ies) of this activi	ty?
Agency AudiTors r	equibe IHIS pro	cedure be indicated in the
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mo If so, how?	l teel it is still nec	essary: les A no
Do you feel that responsible to another OS component of If so, where and why?	ility for the activity or to another Office?	should be transferred Yes No
	55.	'tlue loss sostlue?
Can another component do the More properly? Yes	ne activity more effice. No If so, which	component and why?
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	anything questionable If so, what?	in the light of
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on over If so, how?	ed in scope/size or di erall Agency security?	scontinued without Yes No_X
Is there any activity not mot minimportant than this one?	now being pursued by (Yes No If s	OS that you feel is more so, what?

IDENTIFACOTOR OF Refeate 2000/09/02 The Briefing and Services Branch of the lech processing turn-ins of obsolete, excess and uneconomically repairable material for Tech Division, PTOS.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 14 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> : 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OTHER Agency offices
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No_Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No_Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No_X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

PTOS. ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 15 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? THE DIVISION and OS Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ___ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No Y If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No XIf so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020023-4

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R90070002002974anch of the IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Briefing and accompanies for maintain

Tech Division is responsible for maintaining stock control procedures for Tech Division,

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/02 Tech Briefing and Services Branch of the Approved For Release 2000/09/02 Tech Division initiates and monitors industrial contracts for the repair and modification of Tech Divisions specialized electronic equipment. ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 16 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? on requirements of the Tech Division has Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No____ If so, how?

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY OF

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 17 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB
EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Requirements one level by the Operations Dimensions
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes NoX If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Briefing and Services Branch of the Approved For Release 2000/09/02 eCHA-RDP83B00828R000709020023 identification aligns the standard equipment of the 25X1 Tech Divison, PTOS.
ACTIVITY CODE III, B, (d); 18 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB EVALUATION: DATE:
25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Based on our aperation of Maurements Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No_ Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No_ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No_ If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No Y If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Of Approved For Release 2000/09/02 FGIA-RD	fice of Security component responsible 818 at Headquarters
overse	1 Agency employees departing for as duty.
	25X1A
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 1	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securi
EVALUATION:	Division/BSB
BYABOATION.	DATE:
	25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in the Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of The Agency and USIB	Force? Yes No E this activity?
the y	
Do you feel the activity is still not Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficitly so, how? Twice A mouth	ently or less costly? Yes No mstead of weekly
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No / I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No V If so	estionable in the light of what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No V

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No V If so, what?

If so, how?

IDENTI	FICATION Approved Fo	OF r Re	ACT lease	IVITY 2000/0 9	9/02
		25	X1A		

l e

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 2 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securi
EVALUATION: Division/BSB DATE:
25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
25X1A
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No L
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No V If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall decreases rity? Yes——— No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 3 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Tec	hnical Security
	Division/BSB
EVALUATION: DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)	?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes / No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? If so, how?	162 NO V
If so, how?	THE PARTY.
	•
in the second of the second of the	transferrod
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why?	No
	. •
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? No V If so, which component	Less costly? and why?
	•
Does the activity include anything questionable in the lig Watergate? Yes No / If so, what?	ght of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how?	I without No
	. fool is more
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you important than this one? Yes No / If so, what?	a reer is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/02:	
25X1A	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 4	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION:	Division/BSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(is	in force? Yes / Noes) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is sti	.11 necessary? Yes / No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee	el it is still necessary? Yes V No efficiently or less costly? Yes V No
) , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	for the activity should be transferred another Office? Yes No
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No	tivity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
, · ·	
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No ~	ing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now b important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No / If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION	<u>Pår</u>	Refere 12000/09/	Q
----------------	------------	------------------	---

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
CTIVIII CODE. III, a, co
EVALUATION:
A V DOYK T A ST
nate(s)?
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes V No
cia, usis, NSA
No.
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No No No No (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No No No Lastivity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No Lastivity be done more efficiently or less costly?
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary. No con the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No con the activity be done more efficiently or less costly?
If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
$L_{\alpha} \sim \Delta \Delta T \Pi \Omega T (L) T (U) T U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U$
If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently! hes component and why? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
thing questionable in the light of
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Watergate: 1es
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without No No No No No No No No
significant effect of
If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mo
Is there any activity not now being pursued by 00 that? important than this one? Yes No / If so, what?
important than one

IDENTIFICATION Approved F	OF ACTIVITY: or Release 2000/09/0

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 6	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
What is (are) our reference(s)25	Ros this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies	in force? Yes / Nos) of this activity?
17 - ♦ .	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feed Can the activity be done more example of the so, how?	ll necessary? Yes No No No Still necessary? Yes No Fficiently or less costly? Yes No V
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? YesNo
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No_	tivity more efficiently? Less costly? ———————————————————————————————————
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No	ing questionable in the light of If so, what?
natorgate. 200	
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now b important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?
· ·	

IDENTI	FACATIONFOR	Release 2000/09/0

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 7 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/BSB
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No No No (es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No / If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No / If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: TAR-RDF889900823R00070002902304ponent responsible for presenting the monthly Security Course,

25X1A

ty

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 8 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Secur
EVALUATION: Division/BSI
DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activities Pate(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes V No No No No Agency
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No V
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No / If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No V If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/02 25X1A

ACTIVITY CORPS III D	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 9	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securi
EVALUATION:	Division/BSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	force? Yes V No
into is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Agency Personnel	
T^{*} . The second of T^{*}	
Do you feel the activity is still	necessary? Yes / No
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi	t is still necessary? Yes No
If so, how?	clently or less costly? YesNo
	• •
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? YesNo	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No V If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No / If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/02fcClApRoP883B00823R0009700029023r4 Security Equipment Course (Ten times anyear)

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 10 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION: DATE: Division/BSB
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No No No No
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes V No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes V No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No VI If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No \sum If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/Q2;CLARBORASEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEATEQUEAT

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (d) 11 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION: Division/BSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes V No No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No // If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No V If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No L If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION Approved For	OF ACTIVITY: Release 2000/09/0:	The Action 838 2for making equipment a	100823800070 at the	6002002 tation Fin	gnonent ro on Physic nance Cour	espon cal S rse.	isible Security
						•	
			25X1A		-	•	· -
ACTIVITY CODE:	III, B, (d)	12 CO	MPONENT:	OS/PT	OS/Technic	cal {	Security
EVALUATION:		_ 0			DATE:	visio	on/BSB
			·	. (1)	DALE.	•	
			- 36				
What is (are) or	ur reference (s) for this	s activit	y? Da	ite(s)?		
•	60				2	25X1A	
Is (are) the res	ference(s) sti e beneficiary	ill in forc (ies) of th	ce? Your activ	es/ ity?	No		
	. .				. •		
Do you feel the Do(es) the benef Can the activity If so, how?	FICIATVIIACI +	tool it is	~4-177		No Ty? Yes v tly? Yes	<u>/</u>	No
De man fiel thos	** * 9 .	<u>.</u>				•	
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where a	combonent or	ty for the to another	activity Office?	rshoul Yes_	ld be tra	nsfer <u>/</u>	rred
		÷		•	•		
	<u>-</u>						
Can another composite More properly?	YesNo	activity mo	ore effic o, which	iently compor	/? Less	cost] why?	ly?
				•			
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	y include any	thing quest If so, wl	tionable :	in the	: light o	£	
						. 1	
Can this activity significant eff If so, how?	r be reduced j Eect on overal	in scope/si ll Agency s	ze or disecurity?	sconti Yes_	inued with No	hout	
Is there any acti important than	vity not now this one? Ye	being purs	ued by 08	S that o, wha	you fee]	l is	more

to be presented at Hqs. Security Course (monthly)

25X1A

J. Various tutorials and briefings. Time and frequency depend upon request.

II. FUNCTION

Provide training to Agency groups in the field of physical security equipment.

ACTIVITY

25X1A

A. Physical Security Equipment Course (Ten times per year) held at

25X1A

B. Physical Security Officers' Course
(Two times per year) held at

25X1A

year) held at

25X1A

D. Various tutorials. Time and frequency depend upon requests.

III. FUNCTION

Provide logistical support services for the Technical Security Division.

ACTIVITY

- A. Preparation of requisitions and maintenance of a daily record of expenditures against appropriate
- B. Prepare turn-ins of obsolete, excess and uneconomically repairable materiel.

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CF4 BDP8BB08823R000700020023-4

- C. Maintain stock control procedures.
- D. Initiate and monitor industrial contracts for the repair and modification of specialized electronic equipment.
- E. Prepare documents for shipment of electronic supplies and equipment.
- F. Repair, calibrate and allign standard equipment.

	ROUTING	AND	RECOR	SHEET	
UBJECT: (Optional)					
25X1A			EXTENSION	NO.	
ROM:			EXTENSION		
Policy and Flan	is Group		5311	DATE	
O: (Officer designation, room number, and uilding)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/	в\$В		A)	Under Objective B57104 the Office of Security will re-	
2.				view and validate all Offic of Security functions,	
3.				activitiesto ensure effe tiveness, economy and effi- ciency. The attached docume	
4.				represent two milestones under that objective. Miles stone 1, the components	
5.				identified their functions and activities. Milestone 2 was establishment of a	
6.				format for evaluating theseactivities. It is requested that Briefing and	
7.				Services Branch review the attachments and:	
8.	-			a) Certify that there are no deletions/corrections additions to be made to	
9.	the				
10.			of Activity statement of each evaluation sheet to		
	ensure it fully equals to the comparable active from your offices listing				
11.				c) Use the attached format to evaluate each indivi-	
2.	·»			activity.	
13.					
14.					
15.					